

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/727,372	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHREIBER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Beemnet W. Dada	<b>Art Unit</b> 2135

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) Beemnet W. Dada.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John L. Rogitz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 August 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Provisional Nonstatutory Doubule Patenting with Application 10/727,428***Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 1 of the present application would be rejected under Provisional Nonstatutory obviousness-type Double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-4 of copending application 10/727,428. Applicant agreed and submitted a terminal disclaimer and further authorized the Director to charge Deposit Account No. 503533 the required fee for the terminal disclaimer.